

$$T_2(\vec{x}) = A_2 \vec{x} \text{ where } A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \det(A_2) = -12.$$

$\therefore T_2$  is bijective.

Several linear transformations are stated on below and on the next page. For each transformation, determine if the transformation is bijective, only injective, only surjective, or nojective. Then:

- If the transformation is not injective, determine an explicit, non-zero vector  $\vec{x}$  that maps to  $\vec{0}$ . Show work that leads to the vector. That work might simply be a statement that begins "(i)t is obvious that ...". Finally, use the stated pattern to explicitly show that  $\vec{x}$  maps to  $\vec{0}$ .
- If the transformation is not surjective, determine an explicit vector in the codomain that is not in the range of the transformation. Show work that leads to the vector. That work might simply be a statement that begins "(i)t is obvious that ...".

Linear Transformation 1:  $T_1([x_1, x_2, x_3]^T) = [-2x_1 + x_3, x_1 + x_2, 3x_1 + x_2 - x_3]^T$

Linear Transformation 2:  $T_2([x_1, x_2, x_3]^T) = [3x_2, -x_3, 4x_1 + x_3]^T$

$$T_1\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_1 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 \\ 3x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \end{bmatrix}; T_1(\vec{e}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, T_1(\vec{e}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, T_1(\vec{e}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore T_1(\vec{x}) = A_1 \vec{x} \text{ where } A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \text{codomain } \mathbb{R}^3; \det(A_1) = 0 \therefore T_1 \text{ is nojective}$$

Prove not injective: Find some non-zero vector that gets mapped to the zero vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2x_1 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 \\ 3x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}; \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Clearly  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}x_3$  &  $x_2 = -\frac{1}{2}x_3$ , so a solution is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} -2(1) + 2 \\ 1 + (-1) \\ 3(1) + (-1) - 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

Prove not surjective

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2x_1 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 \\ 3x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} x_1=1, x_2=1 \rightarrow \\ x_1=1, x_2=1 \rightarrow \\ x_1=1, x_2=1, x_3=1 \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}; \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} -2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 & 2 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \begin{matrix} \text{contradiction} \\ \text{QED} \end{matrix}$$

Linear Transformation 3:  $T_3([x_1, x_2]^T) = [x_2, 0, x_1 - x_2]^T$

Linear Transformation 4:  $T_4([x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]^T) = [2x_1 + x_2 - x_4, x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4, -x_2 + 2x_3 - 5x_4]^T$

$T_3: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$   $T_3$  cannot be surjective (or bijective)  
 domain  $\nearrow$  codomain

$T_3(\vec{x}) = A_3 \vec{x}$  where  $A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$   $A_3$  is not square, so

there's no determinant and no Theorem 8. Dang!

Test for injectiveness: is there a nonzero vector that maps to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ?

$T_3\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  By observation  $x_2 = 0$  (row 1)  
 so, by observation,  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$  (row 3).  $\therefore T_3$  is only injective.

$T_4(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_4 \\ x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 \\ -x_2 + 2x_3 - 5x_4 \end{bmatrix}$   $T: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  so it cannot be injective (or bijective)

$T_4(\vec{x}) = A_4 \vec{x}$  where  $A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$  nay, almost every

$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & a \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 & b \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -5 & c \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & -3 & * \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 5 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{array} \right]$  clearly, for some  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$

this bottom row is going to be a contradiction.

$\begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 + x_2 - x_4 \\ x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 \\ -x_2 + 2x_3 - 5x_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} x_1=1, x_2=1, x_4=1 \\ x_1=1, x_2=1, x_3=1, x_4=1 \\ x_2 \neq 1, x_3=1, x_4=1 \\ x_2=0 \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$

$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -5 & -3 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

$\therefore \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \notin \text{range}(T_4)$  so  $T_4$  is not surjective.  
 $\therefore T_4$  is "no" injective